

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/630,572	08/03/2000	Hiroki Yoshida	44084-468	9613		
7:	590 02/02/2005	EXAMINER				
McDermott Will & Emery 600 13th Street NW			CARTER	CARTER, TIA A		
Washington, DC 20005-3096			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
,			2626	•		

DATE MAILED: 02/02/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/630,5	72 .	YOSHIDA, HIROKI				
		Examine	r	Art Unit				
		Tia A Car	ter	2626				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	appears on the	e cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	tress			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state reply received by the Office later than three months after the may be patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no ev reply within the stat iod will apply and w atute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be tin utory minimum of thirty (30) day ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely, the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	mmunication.			
Status								
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07</u>	7 September :	<u>2004</u> .					
2a)□	☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	·							
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.						
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	he drawing(s) t	pe held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the				• •			
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ist of the certi	fied copies not receive	ed.				
AMaabaas	Ma)							
Attachmen  1) Notice	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO_413)				
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 r No(s)/Mail Date	08)	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-	152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/630,572 Page 2

Art Unit: 2626

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments see remarks, filed 9-7-2004, with respect to claims 1-10 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of claims 1-10 has been withdrawn.

In regards to Applicant's response on pages 6-9 of the remarks concerning the 112 rejection of means plus function rejection, Examiner finds it to be persuasive wherein the image processor 20 of the current prior art of Kuwata et al. disclose support for the arguments of the Applicant whereas the controller 1 of the present invention controls the overall image processing functions.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States

Art Unit: 2626

only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kuwata et al. (US. 6392759).

#### Kuwata

Regarding claim 1, Nagao discloses an image processing apparatus (fig. 1, col. 8, line 41), comprising:

Edge detecting means (image processor 20) for determining the presence/absence of an edge at each pixel of input image data (fig. 1, col. 9, lines 65-67; col. 10, lines 1-4);

Selecting means (image processor 20) for selecting a weighting matrix corresponding to the position of the edge for each target pixel determined to have an edge by said edge detecting means (fig. 9-10, col. 10, lines 25-47);

Calculating means (image processor 20) for calculating data of the target pixel and the pixels surrounds the target pixel using the weighting matrix selected by said selecting means (fig. 9-10, col. 10, lines 35-58);

KAN

enhancement range determining means (image processor 20) for determining the range of edge enhancement for the target pixel based on the comparison result of said calculating means to a specific threshold value (fig.13, col. 11, lines 7-36 and lines 44-67; col. 7, lines 1-20; col. 14, lines 57-61); and

Application/Control Number: 09/630,572

Art Unit: 2626

edge enhancing means (image processor 20) for executing an edge enhancement process for the object pixels within the determined enhancement range determined by said enhancement range determining means (fig. 13-14, col. 12, lines 35-55).

Regarding claim 2, Kuwata et al. discloses an image processing apparatus according to claim 1, wherein

Said enhancement range determining means increases the weighting of components corresponding to the interior side of the edge in the weighting matrix (fig. 19, col. 14, lines 29-63).

Regarding claim 3, Kuwata et al. discloses an image processing apparatus according to claim 1, wherein said edge detecting means determines the edge to be between pixels (fig. 8, col. 10, lines 5-39).

Regarding claim 4, Kuwata et al. discloses an image processing apparatus according to claim 1, wherein said enhancement range determining selects the weighting matrix based on the presence/absence of an edge in four directions surrounding the target pixel (figs. 8, col. 10, lines 5-42).

Regarding claim 5, Kuwata et al. discloses an image processing apparatus according to claim 1, wherein said edge enhancing means executes processing based

Art Unit: 2626

on the hue and chroma of the pixels surrounding the object pixel. (fig. 1, col. 9, lines 65-67; col. 10, lines 1-23 and lines 35-39).

Regarding claim 6, Kuwata et al. discloses an image processing apparatus according to claim 1, wherein said edge enhancing means executes processing based on the distance of the object pixel to the target pixel (fig. 16, col. 11, lines 14-31; fig. 7, col. 12, lines 11-34).

Regarding claim 7, Kuwata et al. discloses an image processing method (fig. 1, col. 9, lines 65-67; col. 10, lines 1-4), comprising the steps of:

determining the presence/absence of an edge at each pixel of input image data (fig. 1, col. 9, lines 65-67; col. 10, lines 1-4);

selecting a weighting matrix corresponding to the position of the edge for each target pixel (pixel of interest) determined to have an edge (fig. 9-10, col. 10, lines 25-47);

calculating data of the target pixel (pixel of interest) and the pixels surrounds the target pixel using the weighting matrix (fig. 9-10, col. 10, lines 35-58);

comparing the calculation result to a specific threshold value (fig. 13, col. 11, lines 7-22 and lines 44-62);

determining the range of edge enhancement for the target pixel based on the comparison result (fig.13, col. 11, lines 23-36 and lines 63-67; col. 7, lines 1-20; col. 14, lines 57-61); and

Application/Control Number: 09/630,572

Art Unit: 2626

executing an edge enhancement process for the object pixels within the determined enhancement range (fig. 13-14, col. 12, lines 35-55).

Regarding claim 8, Kuwata et al. discloses an image processing method according to claim 7, wherein

The weighting of components corresponding to the interior side of the edge in the weighting matrix is increased in the step of determining the range (fig. 19, col. 14, lines 29-63; col. 15, lines 1-23)

Regarding claim 9, Kuwata et al. discloses a medium readable (hard disk 22) by a computer storing computer-executable programs (fig. 2, col. 9, lines 3-6) comprising the steps of:

determining the presence/absence of an edge at each pixel of input image data (fig. 1, col. 9, lines 65-67; col. 10, lines 1-4);

selecting a weighting matrix corresponding to the position of the edge for each target pixel determined to have an edge (fig. 9-10, col. 10, lines 25-47);

calculating data of the target pixel and the pixels surrounds the target pixel using the weighting matrix (fig. 9-10, col. 10, lines 35-58);

comparing the calculation result to a specific threshold value (fig. 13, col. 11, lines 7-22 and lines 44-62);

Application/Control Number: 09/630,572 Page 7

Art Unit: 2626

determining the range of edge enhancement for the target pixel based on the comparison result (fig.13, col. 11, lines 23-36 and lines 63-67; col. 7, lines 1-20; col. 14, lines 57-61); and

executing an edge enhancement process for the object pixels within the determined enhancement range (fig. 13-14, col. 12, lines 35-55).

Regarding claim 10, Kuwata et al. discloses an image processing apparatus (fig. 1, col. 8, line 41), comprising:

Edge detecting means for determining the presence/absence of an edge at each pixel of input image data (fig. 1, col. 9, lines 65-67; col. 10, lines 1-4);

calculating means for calculating data of the target pixel and the pixels surrounds the target pixel using the weighting matrix (fig. 9-10, col. 10, lines 35-58);

enhancement range determining means for determining the range of edge enhancement for the target pixel based on the comparison result (fig.13, col. 11, lines 23-36 and lines 63-67; col. 7, lines 1-20; col. 14, lines 57-61); and

edge enhancing means for executing an edge enhancement process for the object pixels within the determined enhancement range (fig. 13-14, col. 12, lines 35-55).

### Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Atkins et al. (US. 6721457) and Harrington (US. 6438270) is

Application/Control Number: 09/630,572 Page 8

Art Unit: 2626

cited to show related art with respect to digital image enhancement via an image

processing apparatus.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Tia A Carter whose telephone number is 703 - 306-

5433. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:00-3:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Kimberly A Williams can be reached on 703-305-4863. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-

872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tia A Carter Examiner Art Unit 2626

1-21-2005

KIMBERLY WILLIAMS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

KAWlleams